## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	) 8:06CR112 )
vs.	) ORDER
LEA M. STEVENS, LINDA D. ADAMS, TROY ADAMS and BRYAN W. ADAMS,	) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Linda D. Adams (Adams) (Filing No. 46). Adams seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for August 7, 2006. Adams' counsel represents that counsel for the government has no objection to the motion. Adams' counsel represents that Adams consents to the motion and acknowledges she understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted. **Trial of this matter will be continued as to all defendants.** 

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Adams' motion to continue trial (Filing No. 46) is granted.
- 2. Trial of this matter **as to all defendants** is re-scheduled for **September 25**, **2006**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **July 27**, **2006** and **September 25**, **2006**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendants' counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 27th day of July, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge